

Moment Approximation for Least-Squares Estimator in First-Order Regression Models with Unit Root and Nonnormal Errors*

Yong Bao[†]

Department of Economics
Purdue University

Aman Ullah[‡]

Department of Economics
University of California, Riverside

Ru Zhang[§]

Department of Economics,
University of California, Riverside

July 1, 2014

ABSTRACT

An extensive literature in econometrics focuses on finding the exact and approximate first and second moments of the least-squares estimator in the stable first-order linear autoregressive model with normally distributed errors. Recently, Kiviet and Phillips (2005) developed approximate moments for the linear autoregressive model with a unit root and normally distributed errors. An objective of this paper is to analyze moments of the estimator in the first-order autoregressive model with a unit root and nonnormal errors. In particular, we develop new analytical approximations for the first two moments in terms of model parameters and the distribution parameters. Through Monte Carlo simulations, we find that our approximate formula perform quite well across different distribution specifications in small samples. However, when the noise to signal ratio is huge, bias distortion can be quite substantial, and our approximations do not fare well.

Keywords: unit root, nonnormal, moment approximation

JEL classification codes: C22, C20, C13

*We thank an anonymous referee and Tom Fomby for their helpful comments.

[†]Corresponding Author: Department of Economics, Purdue University, 403 W. State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907. E-mail: ybao@purdue.edu.

[‡]Department of Economics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521. E-mail: aman.ullah@ucr.edu.

[§]Department of Economics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521. E-mail: ru.zhang@email.ucr.edu

1 Introduction

The autoregressive (AR) model has been a workhorse model in time series econometrics and applied macroeconomics. There has been a huge literature in studying properties of the least-squares (LS) estimator. Hurwicz (1950) was the first to investigate the finite-sample bias issue. Sawa (1978) proposed using the moment generating function approach to numerically evaluating the exact moments, also see Nankervis and Savin (1988) and Hoque and Peters (1986). However, while these papers provided useful numerical results, they did not provide explicit analytical expressions for moments that may lead to further analysis. An alternative procedure to obtaining the moments is to find explicit expressions, for example, by higher-order asymptotic approximations. These have the advantage that they may provide theoretical analysis and suggest corrected estimators. Following this procedure, approximate moments of the LS estimator were developed by Grubb and Symons (1987), Kiviet and Phillips (1993, 1994), Bao and Ullah (2007), among others. The results in all the above papers are under normality, with the exception that it is relaxed in Bao and Ullah (2007) and Bao (2007).

We note that works referred above are all in stable models. Thus, not much attention has been paid to the *analytical* moment approximations of the LS estimator in AR models with a unit root, though numerically, under normality, the moments can be evaluated via the techniques of Sawa (1978). The exceptions are Abadir (1993) and Kiviet and Phillips (2005), where analytical approximations to the moments of the LS estimator for nonstationary AR models were developed with the normality assumption. Our aim here is to develop new results without assuming normality.

In this paper, we analyze the moments of the LS estimator in the first-order AR model with an arbitrary number of exogenous regressors (ARX(1)) when the true coefficient of the lagged-dependent variable is unity. We do not make any distribution assumption except the existence of moments of the errors up to order 4. We also discuss the case when the exogenous regressors may be nonstationary. Our main achievements are as follows: (i) derive the approximate bias of the estimated autoregressive coefficient up to order T^{-3} in terms of model parameters and the skewness coefficient of the error distribution; (ii) derive the approximate MSE up to order T^{-4} of this estimator also in terms of model parameters and the skewness coefficient; (iii) obtain approximations to the first two moments of the estimated full coefficient vector for AR models with a unit root that may contain an arbitrary number of exogenous and possibly nonstationary regressors; (iv) for the random walk with drift model, very neat bias and MSE formulae are presented; (v) provide numerical illustrations of the accuracy of our moment approximations in finite samples.

Our paper proceeds as follows. In the next section, we focus on the moment approximations for the LS estimator of the AR coefficient, and discuss also the special case of random walk with drift. Section 3 presents

the results for the full coefficient vector estimator. Section 4 investigates the accuracy of the analytical results developed by comparing the calculated moment approximations with those from simulations under several symmetric and skewed distributions. Section 5 concludes. The appendix contains detailed derivation of the main results in the paper.

2 The Bias and MSE of the Autoregressive Coefficient Estimator

In this section, we discuss the approximate moments of the LS estimator of the AR coefficient when an arbitrary number of exogenous variables, with at least a constant term, are included. Throughout, I is the $T \times T$ identity matrix, ι is a $T \times 1$ vector of ones and J as a $T \times T$ strict lower triangular matrix with all the elements below the main diagonal being 1.

We follow Kiviet and Phillips (2005) to consider the following model

$$y = \lambda y_{-1} + X\beta + \sigma\varepsilon, \quad (1)$$

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_T)'$ contains a $T \times 1$ vector of observations on the dependent variable, $y_{-1} = (y_0, \dots, y_{T-1})'$ contains the lagged values of the dependent variable, X is a $T \times k$ matrix containing all exogenous regressors that include at least an intercept term, $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_T)'$ is the $T \times 1$ vector of i.i.d. disturbances.

Assumptions: In model (1), the scalar λ and the vector β are unknown coefficients and further: (i) $\lambda = 1$; (ii) all elements of β are finite and $\beta \neq 0$; (iii) X is stationary and $X'X = O(T)$; (iv) $Z = (y_{-1}, X)$ has rank $k + 1$ with probability one; (v) X is strongly exogenous, that is, X and ε are independent, and it contains at least a constant term; (vi) σ is positive and finite, and the disturbances ε_i are i.i.d., with mean zero, variance 1, and skewness and excess kurtosis coefficients γ_1 and γ_2 , respectively; (vii) the start-up value is y_0 , either fixed or random, and when y_0 is random, it is independent of ε .

Note that we impose stationarity on X in Assumption (iii), but later this will be relaxed. Regarding the error term ε , we do not make distributional assumption, except the existence of its first four moments.

The LS estimator of λ , which is also the quasi maximum likelihood estimator under nonnormality, is

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{y'_{-1} My}{y'_{-1} M y_{-1}} = \lambda + \sigma \frac{y'_{-1} M \varepsilon}{y'_{-1} M y_{-1}}, \quad (2)$$

where $M = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$. We may write $y_{-1} = y_0\iota + JX\beta + \sigma J\varepsilon$. Then if X contains a constant term, it is obvious that $My_{-1} = MJX\beta + \sigma MJ\varepsilon$, so the properties of $\hat{\lambda}$ do not depend on the initial value y_0 .

By plugging $My_{-1} = MJX\beta + \sigma MJ\varepsilon$, we have

$$\hat{\lambda} - 1 = \frac{\sigma\varepsilon'MJX\beta + \sigma^2\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon}{\beta'X'J'MJX\beta + 2\sigma\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta + \sigma^2\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon}. \quad (3)$$

Before we implement an expansion of $\hat{\lambda} - 1$, let's exam the various terms on the right-hand side of (3). First observe that since we assume the regressors are stationary and $X'X = O(T)$, then immediately, $X(X'X)^{-1}X' = o(1)$ and $X(X'X)^{-1}X'J = O(1)$. Also, $X'J'MJX = X'J'JX - X'J'X(X'X)^{-1}X'JX = O(X'J'JX) = O(T^3)$, and $\beta'X'J'MJX\beta = O(T^3)$. This gives $\varepsilon'MJX\beta = O_P(T^{3/2})$. Similarly, we can verify that $\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon = O_P(T)$, $\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon = O_P(T^2)$, $\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta = O_P(T^{5/2})$. Thus, the dominating term in our expansion is $\beta'X'J'MJX\beta$. For notational convenience, denote $\mu := (\beta'X'J'MJX\beta)^{-1} = O(T^{-3})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda} - 1 &= \frac{\overbrace{\mu\sigma\varepsilon'MJX\beta + \mu\sigma^2\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon}^{O_P(T^{-3/2})}}{\overbrace{1 + 2\mu\sigma\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta + \mu\sigma^2\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon}^{O_P(T^{-1/2})}} \\ &= (\mu\sigma\varepsilon'MJX\beta + \mu\sigma^2\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon) \times [1 - 2\mu\sigma\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta - \mu\sigma^2\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon \\ &\quad + 4\mu^2\sigma^2(\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta)^2 + 4\mu^2\sigma^3(\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta)(\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon) - 8\mu^3\sigma^3(\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta)^3] + o_P(T^{-3}) \\ &= (\mu\sigma\varepsilon'MJX\beta + \mu\sigma^2\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon) [1 - 2\mu\sigma\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta - \mu\sigma^2\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon + 4\mu^2\sigma^2(\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta)^2] \\ &\quad + 4\mu^3\sigma^4(\varepsilon'MJX\beta)(\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta)(\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon) - 8\mu^4\sigma^4\varepsilon'MJX\beta(\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta)^3 + o_P(T^{-3}) \\ &= \underbrace{\mu\sigma\varepsilon'MJX\beta + \mu\sigma^2\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-3/2})} - \underbrace{2\mu^2\sigma^2\varepsilon'MJX\beta\beta'X'J'MJ\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-2})} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{4\mu^3\sigma^3\beta'X'J'M\varepsilon\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta\beta'X'J'MJ\varepsilon - \mu^2\sigma^3\beta'X'J'M\varepsilon\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon - 2\mu^2\sigma^3\beta'X'J'MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-5/2})} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{4\mu^3\sigma^4\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta\beta'X'J'MJ\varepsilon - 8\mu^4\sigma^4\varepsilon'MJX\beta\beta'X'J'MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta\beta'X'J'MJ\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-3})} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{4\mu^3\sigma^4\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon'J'MJX\beta\beta'X'J'MJ\varepsilon - \mu^2\sigma^4\varepsilon'MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon'J'MJ\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-3})} + o_P(T^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then taking expectations term by term in (4), collected in Appendix A, and upon substitution, we have the following bias result under nonnormality:

Theorem 1: Under Assumptions (i)–(vii), the bias, to the order of T^{-3} , of the least-squares estimator of λ

in model (1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\hat{\lambda} - 1) &= \sigma^2 \mu [1 + \text{tr}(MJ)] \\
&\quad + \sigma^3 \mu^2 \gamma_1 \beta' X' J' M [4\mu(I \odot J' MJX \beta \beta' X' J' MJ) - (I \odot J' MJ) - 2J(I \odot MJ)] \iota \\
&\quad - \sigma^4 \mu^2 \text{tr}(MJ) [\text{tr}(J' MJ) - 4\mu \beta' X' J' MJ J' MJ X \beta] + o(T^{-3}). \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that $\hat{\lambda}$ is unbiased up to $O(T^{-3/2})$, in line with the well-known result that $\hat{\lambda}$ is super-consistent with a convergence rate of $T^{3/2}$. It is also unbiased up to $O(\sigma)$. The leading bias term is of order $O(T^{-2})$, given by

$$E(\hat{\lambda} - 1) = -\sigma^2 \mu \text{tr}((X' X)^{-1} X' J X) + o(T^{-2}), \tag{6}$$

which is robust to nonnormality. The distribution effect of the disturbance term on the approximate bias comes through its skewness coefficient γ_1 , and it is of order T^{-3} . This stands in contrast to the stationary AR model, where the LS estimator is \sqrt{T} -consistent and the “second-order” bias, up to $O(T^{-2})$, is robust to nonnormality, as shown in Bao and Ullah (2007).

For the special case of $X = \iota$, we observe that $MJX = J\iota - T^{-1}\iota' J\iota u$ with the t -th element $t - 1 - 0.5(T - 1)$, $J' MJX = J'\iota - T^{-1}\iota' J\iota J'\iota$ with the t -th element $0.5[T(T - 1) - t(t - 1) - (T - 1)(T - t)]$, $MJ = J - T^{-1}u'u'J$ with the t -th diagonal $-T^{-1}(T - t)$, and $J' MJ = J'J - T^{-1}J'u'u'J$ with the t -th diagonal $T - t - T^{-1}(T - t)^2$. Upon simplification (see Appendix B), the bias result reduces to the following:

Corollary 1: *If in the model of Theorem 1 we have $X = \iota$, then the bias simplifies to*

$$E(\hat{\lambda} - 1) = -6 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{T^2} + 18 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{T^3} + 6\gamma_1 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta} \right)^3 \frac{1}{T^3} - \frac{84}{5} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta} \right)^4 \frac{1}{T^3} + o(T^{-3}). \tag{7}$$

From this, we observe that up to T^{-2} , for the random walk with drift model, the bias of the unit root estimator is negative, and this bias is proportional to the squared noise to signal ratio σ/β . One might immediately predict that in the case of extreme values of σ/β , this bias expression may give poor approximation to the true bias. We might also predict that when the disturbance term is positively skewed, it tends to pull down the magnitude of the bias. (Keep in mind that the leading bias term is negative.)

To approximate the MSE of $\hat{\lambda}$ up to order $O(T^{-4})$, we can directly utilize the expansion of $\hat{\lambda} - 1$, and put

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\lambda} - 1)^2 &= (\mu\sigma\varepsilon' MJX\beta)^2 + 2(\mu\sigma\varepsilon' MJX\beta)(\mu\sigma^2\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon - 2\mu^2\sigma^2\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon) \\
&\quad + (\mu\sigma^2\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon - 2\mu^2\sigma^2\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon)^2 \\
&\quad + 2(\mu\sigma\varepsilon' MJX\beta)[4\mu^3\sigma^3\beta' X' J' M\varepsilon\varepsilon' J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon \\
&\quad - \mu^2\sigma^3\beta' X' J' M\varepsilon\varepsilon' J' MJ\varepsilon - 2\mu^2\sigma^3\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon] + o_P(T^{-4}) \\
&= \underbrace{\sigma^2\mu^2\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' M\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-3})} + \underbrace{2\sigma^3\mu^2\beta' X' J' M\varepsilon\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon - 4\mu^3\sigma^3\beta' X' J' M\varepsilon\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-7/2})} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\sigma^4\mu^2(\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon)^2 - 8\sigma^4\mu^3\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon - 2\sigma^4\mu^3\varepsilon' J' MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' M\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-4})} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{12\sigma^4\mu^4(\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon)^2}_{O_P(T^{-4})} + o_P(T^{-4}). \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

So by substituting the expectations (see the appendix) and ignoring terms of smaller orders, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2: *In the model of Theorem 1, the MSE of the least-squares estimator of λ to the order of T^{-4} is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
E[(\hat{\lambda} - 1)^2] &= \sigma^2\mu + \sigma^4\mu^2\{[tr(MJ)]^2 + tr(MJM) - tr(J'MJ)\} \\
&\quad + 4\sigma^4\mu^3(\beta' X' J' MJJ' MJX\beta - \beta' X' J' MJMJMJX\beta) \\
&\quad + 2\sigma^3\mu^2\gamma_1\beta' X' J' M[(I \odot MJ) - 2\mu(I \odot MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ)]\iota + o(T^{-4}). \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that the leading term of the MSE is of order T^{-3} . The skewness coefficient contributes to the approximate MSE at order T^{-3} . If further $X = \iota$, we have the following result.

Corollary 2: *If $X = \iota$ in the model of Theorem 2, then the MSE and the variance simplify to*

$$E[(\hat{\lambda} - 1)^2] = 12\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right)^2 \frac{1}{T^3} + \frac{48}{5}\gamma_1\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right)^3 \frac{1}{T^3} + \frac{336}{5}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right)^4 \frac{1}{T^4} + o(T^{-4}), \tag{10}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = 12\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right)^2 \frac{1}{T^3} + \frac{48}{5}\gamma_1\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right)^3 \frac{1}{T^3} + \frac{156}{5}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right)^4 \frac{1}{T^4} + o(T^{-4}). \tag{11}$$

From this corollary, we see that the leading term $12T^{-3}(\sigma/\beta)^2$ gives the asymptotic variance of $T^{3/2}(\hat{\lambda}-1)$. Apparently, it suggests again that for the random walk with drift model, the approximate moments of $\hat{\lambda}$ depend crucially on σ/β . For a given sample size T , the quality of the approximation will go down as $|\sigma/\beta|$ goes up. The distribution affects the approximate MSE through its skewness coefficient γ_1 . In Bao (2007), it is found that for the stationary AR model with an intercept, the second-order MSE depends on not only the skewness but also the kurtosis of the disturbance distribution.

3 The Full Coefficient Vector Estimator

Now we consider the full coefficient vector $\alpha := (\lambda, \beta')'$. Define $Z := (y_{-1}, X)$ and the LS estimator is $\hat{\alpha} = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y$. We relax Assumption (iii) so that X may contain a linear trend. As $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ might have different convergence rates, we follow Kiviet and Phillips (2005) to define a nonstochastic diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(T^{\delta_i}, \dots, T^{\delta_{k+1}})$, where $\delta_i \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, such that $DZ'ZD = O_P(T)$.

Let $\bar{Z} = E(Z)$, $\tilde{Z} = Z - \bar{Z}$, $W = ZD$, $\bar{W} = E(W) = \bar{Z}D$, $\tilde{W} = W - \bar{W} = \tilde{Z}D$. Conditional on X and y_0 , we can write $y_{-1} - E(y_{-1}) = \sigma J\varepsilon$ and thus $\tilde{Z} = \sigma J\varepsilon e'_1$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)'$ is $(k+1) \times 1$. Then $\tilde{W} = \tilde{Z}D = \sigma J\varepsilon e'_1 D$. One can verify that $\bar{W}'\bar{W} = O(T)$, $\bar{W}'\tilde{W} = O_P(T^{1/2})$, $\tilde{W}'\tilde{W} = O_P(1)$, $\bar{W}'\varepsilon = O_P(T^{1/2})$, and $\tilde{W}'\varepsilon = O_P(1)$.

The rescaled estimation error may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) &= \sigma(DZ'ZD)^{-1}DZ'\varepsilon \\ &= \sigma(\bar{W}'\bar{W} + \bar{W}'\tilde{W} + \tilde{W}'\bar{W} + \tilde{W}'\tilde{W})^{-1}(\bar{W} + \tilde{W})'\varepsilon \\ &= \sigma R(I + P + S)^{-1}(\bar{W} + \tilde{W})'\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $R = (\bar{W}'\bar{W})^{-1} = O(T^{-1})$, $P = \bar{W}'\tilde{W}R + \tilde{W}'\bar{W}R = O_P(T^{-1/2})$, $S = \tilde{W}'\tilde{W}R = O_P(T^{-1})$. Thus, for the rescaled estimator, up to order $O_P(T^{-1})$, we have the following expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) &= \sigma R(I - P)(\bar{W} + \tilde{W})'\varepsilon \\ &= \underbrace{\sigma R\bar{W}'\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-1/2})} + \underbrace{\sigma R\tilde{W}'\varepsilon - \sigma RP\bar{W}'\varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-1})} + o_P(T^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Obviously, by substituting $\tilde{W} = \sigma J\varepsilon e'_1 D$, we have $\tilde{W}'\varepsilon = \sigma D'e_1\varepsilon'J'\varepsilon$ and $P\bar{W}'\varepsilon = (\bar{W}'\tilde{W} + \tilde{W}'\bar{W})R\bar{W}'\varepsilon =$

$\sigma \bar{W}' J \varepsilon e_1' D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon + \sigma D' e_1 \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon$, where the random terms have expectations $E(\varepsilon' J' \varepsilon) = 0$, $E(\varepsilon e_1' D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon) = \bar{W} R D e_1$, and $E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon) = \text{tr}(J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}')$, regardless of the distribution of ε_t . Thus, Theorem 3 of Kiviet and Phillips (2005) regarding the bias of $\hat{\alpha}$, up to order $O(T^{-1+\delta_i})$, is robust to nonnormality. For completeness, we reproduce here.

Theorem 3: In the first-order dynamic regression model, where the coefficient of the lagged dependent variable λ is equal to unity, the regressor matrix $Z = (y_{-1}, X)$, and the scaling matrix $D = \text{diag}(T^{\delta_1}, \dots, T^{\delta_{k+1}})$ such that conditional on X and y_0 , $DZ' ZD = O_P(T)$, the bias of the least-squares estimator of the coefficient vector $\alpha = (\lambda, \beta')'$, conditional on X and y_0 , can be approximated, provided that $\bar{Z} = (y_0 + JX\beta, X)$ has full column rank and β is finite and non-zero, as follows:

$$E(\hat{\beta}_i - \beta) = -\sigma^2 e_{i+1}' \left[(\bar{Z}' \bar{Z})^{-1} \bar{Z}' J \bar{Z} + \frac{1}{2}(T - k - 1)I \right] (\bar{Z}' \bar{Z})^{-1} e_1 + o(T^{-1+\delta_{i+1}}), \quad (13)$$

and

$$E(\hat{\lambda} - 1) = -\frac{1}{2}(T - k)\sigma^2 e_1' (\bar{Z}' \bar{Z})^{-1} e_1 + o(T^{-1+\delta_1}). \quad (14)$$

One can check immediately that $E(\hat{\lambda} - 1)$ in (14) corresponds to (6). To approximate the MSE of $\hat{\alpha}$, we further expand

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) &= \sigma R(I - P - S + PP)\bar{W}' \varepsilon + \sigma R(I - P)\tilde{W}' \varepsilon + o_P(T^{-3/2}) \\ &= \underbrace{\sigma R \bar{W}' \varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-1/2})} + \underbrace{\sigma R \tilde{W}' \varepsilon - \sigma R P \bar{W}' \varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-1})} + \underbrace{\sigma R(PP - S)\bar{W}' \varepsilon - \sigma R P \tilde{W}' \varepsilon}_{O_P(T^{-3/2})} + o_P(T^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

So the MSE of $D^{-1}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$, up to $O(T^{-2})$, may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} E[D^{-1}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)' D^{-1}] &= \sigma^2 R + \sigma^2 R E[(\tilde{W}' \varepsilon - P \bar{W}' \varepsilon)(\tilde{W}' \varepsilon - P \bar{W}' \varepsilon)'] R \\ &\quad + \sigma^2 R \bar{W}' E[\varepsilon(\tilde{W}' \varepsilon - P \bar{W}' \varepsilon)'] R + \sigma^2 R E[(\tilde{W}' \varepsilon - P \bar{W}' \varepsilon)\varepsilon'] \bar{W} R \\ &\quad + \sigma^2 R \bar{W}' \varepsilon [R(PP - S)\bar{W}' \varepsilon - \sigma R P \tilde{W}' \varepsilon]' \\ &\quad + \sigma^2 [R(PP - S)\bar{W}' \varepsilon - \sigma R P \tilde{W}' \varepsilon]\varepsilon' \bar{W} R + o(T^{-2}) \\ &= \sigma^2 R + \sigma^2 R E[\tilde{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \tilde{W} + P \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} P' - P \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \tilde{W} - \tilde{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} P' \\ &\quad + \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \tilde{W} - \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} P' + \tilde{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} - P \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} P' P' - \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} S' - \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \tilde{W} P' \\
& + P P \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} - S \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} - P \tilde{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W}] R + o(T^{-2}). \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

By substitution, simplification, and omission of terms of smaller orders (see Appendix A), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E[D^{-1}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)' D^{-1}] = & \sigma^2 R + \sigma^4 R \{ [-\text{tr}(J' J) + \text{tr}^2(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) + \text{tr}(\bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} R) \\
& + \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) - 2\text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J' J')] D e_1 e_1' D \\
& + \bar{W}'(J J' - J' J' - J' J) \bar{W} R D e_1 e_1' D \\
& + D e_1 e_1' D R \bar{W}'(J J' - J' J' - J' J) \bar{W} \\
& + e_1' D R D e_1 \bar{W}'(J J' - J' J' - J' J) \bar{W} \\
& + e_1' D R D e_1 (\bar{W}' J \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} + \bar{W}' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J' \bar{W}) \\
& + [e_1' D R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R D e_1 + \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) e_1' D R D e_1] \bar{W}'(J + J') \bar{W}\} R \\
& - \sigma^3 \gamma_1 R [\bar{W}' (\bar{W} R D e_1 \iota' \odot I) J' \bar{W} + \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R D e_1 \iota' \odot I) \bar{W}] R + o(T^{-2}),
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to the following theorem:

Theorem 4: In the model of Theorem 3, the elements of the $MSE(\hat{\alpha})$ matrix, that is, $E[(\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i)(\hat{\alpha}_j - \alpha_j)]$, $i, j = 1, \dots, k+1$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^2 e_i' Q e_j + \sigma^4 [\text{tr}(Q \bar{Z}' J J' \bar{Z}) - 2\text{tr}(Q \bar{Z}' J J \bar{Z}) - \text{tr}(J' J) \\
& + \text{tr}(Q \bar{Z}' J \bar{Z} Q \bar{Z}' J \bar{Z}) + \text{tr}^2(Q \bar{Z}' J \bar{Z})] e_i' D e_1 e_1' D e_j \\
& + \sigma^4 e_1' Q e_1 e_i' Q \bar{Z}'[J J' - J' J' - J' J + J \bar{Z} Q \bar{Z}' J + J' \bar{Z} Q \bar{Z}' J'] \bar{Z} Q e_j \\
& + \sigma^4 e_1' D e_j e_i' Q \bar{Z}'(J J' - J' J' - J' J) \bar{Z} Q e_1 \\
& + \sigma^4 e_1' Q e_i e_1' Q \bar{Z}'(J J' - J' J' - J' J) \bar{Z} Q e_j \\
& + \sigma^4 [e_1' Q \bar{Z}' J \bar{Z} Q e_1 + \text{tr}(Q \bar{Z}' J \bar{Z}) e_1' Q e_1] e_i' Q \bar{Z}'(J + J') \bar{Z} Q e_j \\
& - \sigma^3 \gamma_1 e_i' Q \bar{Z}'[(\bar{Z} Q e_1 \iota' \odot I) J' + J (\bar{Z} Q e_1 \iota' \odot I)] \bar{Z} Q e_j + o(T^{-2+\delta_i+\delta_j}), \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q = (\bar{Z}' \bar{Z})^{-1}$, $\bar{Z} = E(Z)$, and e_i is the i -th unit vector.

From this theorem we can obtain, for example, when $k = 2$ with the first column of X being the constant

and the second being a linear trend, the approximate MSE of $\hat{\beta}_1$ up to $O(T^{-2})$, that of $\hat{\beta}_2$ up to $O(T^{-4})$, and that of $\hat{\lambda}$ up to $O(-6)$ (if $\beta_2 \neq 0$, and up to $O(T^{-4})$ otherwise). For a model with stationary X , the orders of approximations to the MSE of $\hat{\lambda}$ and β_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, are $O(T^{-4})$ and $O(T^{-2})$, respectively. Compared with Kiviet and Phillips (2005), we see that the in (17) the approximate MSE has an additional term that involves the skewness coefficient γ_1 .

4 Approximation Accuracy

In this section, we investigate how our moment approximations fare under different distributions, sample sizes, and the noise to signal ratios. We focus on the random walk with drift model $y_t^* = \lambda y_{t-1}^* + \beta^* + \varepsilon_t$, where $y_0^* = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $\beta^* = \beta/\sigma \neq 0$. Recall that the approximate moments are invariant to the starting value. Since the approximate moments are related to the ratio β/σ , but not to β and σ separately, we rescale the model so that the error term has unit variance. We consider five nonnormal distributions for ε , including both symmetric and asymmetric case. These five nonnormal distributions are: uniform on $[0, 1]$, exponential distribution $\exp(1)$, student- t distribution with 5 degrees of freedom, mixture of two normals of $N(-3, 1)$ and $N(3, 1)$, with probability equal to 0.2, 0.8 respectively, and log normal distribution $\ln N(0, 1)$. The corresponding skewness coefficients are 0, 2, 0, -1.1798 , and 8.1073 , respectively. For comparison purpose, we also include the results under a standard normal distribution. Our estimates of the true bias, MSE, and variance are based on 10,000,000 simulations and we also provide the estimated standard errors of our Monte Carlo estimates, denoted by MCSE.

We experiment with $T = 10, 15, 20, 40, 80$, $\beta^* = 10, 5, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1$. As indicated in the previous sections, for small values of β^* (equivalently, large values of the noise to signal ratio), the accuracy of our approximations is expected to deteriorate. Similar to Kiviet and Phillips (2005), we may employ an expansion in the orders of β^* and the approximate bias may be defined as

$$E(\hat{\lambda} - 1) = g_0(T) - g_{1/2}(T)\beta^* - g_1(T)\beta^{*2} + o(\beta^{*2}) \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(T) &= E\left(\frac{\varepsilon' J' A \varepsilon}{\varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon}\right), & g_{1/2}(T) &= 2E\left[\frac{\varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon \varepsilon' J' A \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon)^2}\right], \\ g_1(T) &= E\left[\frac{\varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon \varepsilon' J' A \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon' A J \varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon - 4\varepsilon' J' A \varepsilon \varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon)^2} - \frac{4\varepsilon' J' A \varepsilon \varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon' J' A J \varepsilon)^3}\right], \end{aligned}$$

in which $A = I - T^{-1}\mu'\mu$. Under normality, $g_0(T)$, $g_{1/2}(T)$, and $g_1(T)$ might be numerically calculated via the moment-generating function approach of Sawa (1978). With a general nonnormal distribution, neither analytical nor numerical approach can be straightforwardly applied. So we follow Kiviet and Phillips (2005) to obtain them by simulations.

To save space, we report only the results pertaining to $\beta^* = 5, 1, 0.2$, presented in Tables 1-6, whereas the results under other values of β^* are available upon request from the corresponding author. In each table, we first report the true bias, defined as the averaged $\hat{\lambda} - 1$ across 10,000,000 simulations, together with the MCSE, the approximate biases of $\hat{\lambda}$ up to $O(T^{-2})$ ($-6/(\beta^{*2}T^2)$), $O(\sigma^2)$ ($-6/(\beta^{*2}T^2) + 18/(\beta^{*2}T^3)$), $O(T^{-3})$ (expression (7)), $O(\sigma^3)$ ($-6/(\beta^{*2}T^2) + 18/(\beta^{*2}T^3) + 6\gamma_1/(\beta^{*3}T^3)$), and $O(\beta^{*2})$ (expression (18), when $\beta^* = 0.2$). They are followed by the true variance / MSE and the approximate variance / MSE of $\hat{\lambda}$ up to $O(T^{-4})$ (expressions (11) / (10)). We report next the true bias (together with MCSE) / variance / MSE and approximate bias (up to $O(T^{-1})$, expression (13)) / variance (up to $O(T^{-2})$, defined as the $O(T^{-2})$ MSE minus the squared $O(T^{-1})$ bias) / MSE (up to $O(T^{-2})$, expression (17)) of (normalized) $\hat{\beta}$.

We observe that in general our analytical formulae capture well the true moments of the LS estimator for large and moderate β/σ , across different distributions. However, on occasions where there is substantial bias, and these are the cases when the signal to noise ratio is small (0.2), our formulae approximate poorly the true moments, and sometimes the order T^{-3} bias approximations are even worse than the order T^{-2} approximations. For large values of β/σ , the higher-order approximations are typically better. The difference between $O(\sigma^2)$ ($O(\sigma^3)$) and $O(T^{-2})$ ($O(T^{-3})$) bias approximations is in general small. Under small T and small β^* , the bias in $\hat{\lambda}$ can be quite substantial, and only the $O(\beta^{*2})$ approximation captures the bias well.

The bias of the estimated intercept increases when the intercept decreases and it is very substantial when β/σ is small. And it seems to decrease with T not as quickly as the bias of $\hat{\lambda}$. When β/σ is not small, even for a small $T = 10$, our analytical formulae perform reasonably well in approximating the true moments of $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\beta}$, regardless of the disturbance distribution.

Note that the effect of nonnormality on the finite-sample moments of the LS estimator is not as strong as other aspects of the model, especially the value of β/σ . In particular, the bias, variance, and MSE approximations developed under normality seem quite robust to the distributions we have experimented with, though we see more variations in those for $\hat{\beta}$. Of course, a more comprehensive conclusion regarding the effects of nonnormality has yet to be drawn, given that in reality there are countless possibilities of nonnormal distributions.

5 Concluding Remarks

We have derived analytical moment approximation for the LS estimator in ARX(1) with a unit root, where an arbitrary number of possibly nonstationary exogenous regressors may be included. In this framework, no distribution assumption is made except that we assume the existence of moments of the errors up to order 4. In the special case of random walk with drift, very neat bias and MSE formulae are presented. Numerical illustrations of the accuracy of our moment approximations in finite samples are also provided.

Note that we have restrained ourselves from deriving moment approximation for the random walk model $y = \lambda y_{-1} + \sigma \varepsilon$, $\lambda = 1$. One can show that $\hat{\lambda} - 1 = \sigma(y_0 \nu' \varepsilon + \sigma \varepsilon' J \varepsilon)/(y_0^2 T + 2\sigma y_0 \nu' J \varepsilon + \sigma^2 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)$, where $y_0 \nu' \varepsilon = O_P(T^{1/2})$, $\varepsilon' J \varepsilon = O_P(T)$, $\nu' J \varepsilon = O_P(T^{3/2})$, and $\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon = O_P(T^2)$. Thus, a possible expansion may be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\lambda} - 1 &= \left(\underbrace{\frac{\sigma y_0 \nu' \varepsilon}{\sigma^2 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon}}_{O_P(T^{-3/2})} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2 \varepsilon' J \varepsilon}{\sigma^2 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon}}_{O_P(T^{-1})} \right) \left(1 + \underbrace{\frac{2\sigma y_0 \nu' J \varepsilon}{\sigma^2 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon}}_{O_P(T^{-1/2})} + \underbrace{\frac{y_0^2 T}{\sigma^2 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon}}_{O_P(T^{-1})} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon' J \varepsilon}{\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon}}_{O_P(T^{-1})} + \underbrace{\frac{y_0 \nu' \varepsilon}{\sigma \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon}}_{O_P(T^{-1})} \right) \left[1 - \frac{2y_0 \nu' J \varepsilon}{\sigma \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon} - \frac{y_0^2 T}{\sigma^2 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon} + \left(\frac{2y_0 \nu' J \varepsilon}{\sigma \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon} + \frac{y_0^2 T}{\sigma^2 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon} \right)^2 + o_P(T^{-1}) \right] \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon' J \varepsilon}{\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon}}_{O_P(T^{-1})} + \underbrace{\frac{y_0 \nu' \varepsilon}{\sigma \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon} - 2 \frac{y_0}{\sigma} \frac{\nu' J \varepsilon \varepsilon' J \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)^2}}_{O_P(T^{-3/2})} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{4 \left(\frac{y_0}{\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon' J \varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \nu' J \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)^3} - \left(\frac{y_0}{\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{T \varepsilon' J \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)^2} - 2 \left(\frac{y_0}{\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon' \nu' J \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)^2}}_{O_P(T^{-2})} + o_P(T^{-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Under nonnormality, it is not obvious how to evaluate directly the above expectations of ratios. We may attempt to implement further expansions for the random ratios. But unfortunately,

$$\frac{\varepsilon' J \varepsilon}{\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon' J \varepsilon}{E(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)} \left[1 + \frac{\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon - E(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)}{E(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon)} \right]^{-1}$$

is not going to work, as $E(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon) = O(T^2)$ and $\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon - E(\varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon) = O(T^2)$, and we are not aware of straightforward expansions that allow us to calculate analytically the moments under nonnormality, although Phillips (2012) derived bias expansions under normality with the help of moment generation function of ratio of normal quadratic forms.

One area of future research is to develop Edgeworth-type approximation to the finite-sample distribution of the LS estimator when the model has a unit root and errors are nonnormal. This could be done by following

the seminal paper of Phillips (1977) for the case of stable model with normal errors.

Another area of future research is to explore the finite-sample properties of predictive regressions, where the lagged regressor follows a unit-root process. Phillips (2013) considered the asymptotic results when the regressor has local-to-unit behavior and Phillips and Lee (2013) generalized this to the multivariate framework.

Given the analytical results developed in this paper, one can easily write a bias corrected estimator, namely, the LS estimator minus the estimated bias which is obtained by replacing the unknown parameters in the analytical bias with their consistent estimates. One may also follow Phillips (2012) to consider the indirect inference estimator and Abadir (1995) to consider the minimum MSE estimator. We leave these for our future research.

References

- Abadir, K.M. (1993). OLS bias in a nonstationary regression. *Econometric Theory* 9, 81–93.
- Abadir, K.M. (1995). Unbiased estimation as a solution to testing for random walks. *Economics Letters* 47, 263–268.
- Bao, Y. (2007). The approximate moments of the least squares estimator for the stationary autoregressive model under a general error distribution. *Econometric Theory* 23, 1013–1021.
- Bao, Y. and A. Ullah (2007). The second-order bias and mean squared error of estimators in time series models. *Journal of Econometrics* 140, 650–669.
- Grubb, D. and J. Symons (1987). Bias in regressions with a lagged-dependent variable. *Econometric Theory* 3, 371–86.
- Hoque, A. and T. Peters (1986). Finite sample analysis of the ARMAX models. *Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series B* 48, 266–283.
- Hurwicz, L. (1950). Least-squares bias in time series. In T. C. Koopmans (ed.) *Statistical Inference in Dynamic Economic Models*, pp 365–383. New York: Wiley.
- Kiviet, J.F. and G.D.A. Phillips (1993). Alternative bias approximations in regressions with a lagged dependent variable. *Econometric Theory* 9, 62–80.
- Kiviet, J.F. and G.D.A. Phillips (1994). Bias assessment and reduction in linear error-correction models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 63, 215–243.
- Kiviet, J.F. and G.D.A. Phillips (2005). Moment approximation for least-squares estimators in dynamic regression models with a unit root. *The Econometrics Journal* 8, 115–142.
- Nankervis, J.S. and N.E. Savin (1988). The Student's *t* approximation in a stationary first-order autoregressive model. *Econometrica* 56, 119–145.
- Phillips, P.C.B. (1977). Approximations to some finite sample distributions associated with a first order stochastic difference equation. *Econometrica* 45, 463–485.
- Phillips, P.C.B. (2012). Folklore theorems, implicit maps, and indirect inference. *Econometrica* 80, 425–454.

- Phillips, P.C.B. (2013). On Confidence intervals for autoregressive roots and predictive regression. *Econometrica*, forthcoming.
- Phillips, P.C.B. and J.H. Lee (2013). Predictive regression under various degrees of persistence and robust long-horizon regression. *Journal of Econometrics* 177, 250–264.
- Sawa, T. (1978). The exact moments of the least-squares estimator for the autoregressive model. *Journal of Econometrics* 8, 159–72.
- Ullah, A. (2004) *Finite Sample Econometrics*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Appendix A: Expectations of Quadratic Forms

To evaluate the expectations of quadratic forms under nonnormality, we can use the following results (see, for example, Ullah (2004)):

$$E(\varepsilon\varepsilon' A\varepsilon) = \gamma_1(I \odot A)\iota, \quad E(\varepsilon' A_1 \varepsilon \varepsilon' A_2 \varepsilon) = \text{tr}(A_1)\text{tr}(A_2) + \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) + \text{tr}(A_1 A_2') + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(A_1 \odot A_2). \quad (19)$$

In addition,

$$E(\varepsilon\varepsilon' A\varepsilon\varepsilon') = [\text{tr}(A)I + A + A' + \gamma_2(A \odot I)]. \quad (20)$$

Based on (19) and (20), and observing that $MJ = O(1)$, $JJ' = O(T)$, $MJX = O(T)$, $J'MJ = O(T)$, $J'MJX = O(T^2)$, and $J + J' = 0.5(\mu' - I)$, we can derive the following:

$$E(\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon) = \text{tr}(MJ) = 0.5\text{tr}(M(J + J')) = 0.5\text{tr}(M(\mu' - I)) = -0.5(T - k) = O(T),$$

$$E(\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon) = \beta' X' J' M J M J X \beta = -0.5\beta' X' J' M J X \beta = -0.5\mu^{-1} = O(T^3),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M E(\varepsilon\varepsilon' J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon) = \gamma_1 \beta' X' J' M (I \odot J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ)\iota = O(T^6),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M E(\varepsilon\varepsilon' J' MJ\varepsilon) = \gamma_1 \beta' X' J' M (I \odot J' MJ)\iota = O(T^3),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M J E(\varepsilon\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon) = \gamma_1 \beta' X' J' M J (I \odot MJ)\iota = O(T^3),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\varepsilon' J' MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon) \\ &= \text{tr}(J' MJ)\text{tr}(MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) + 2\text{tr}(J' M J M J X \beta\beta' X' J' MJ) + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(J' MJ \odot MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ &= \text{tr}(J' MJ)\beta' X' J' M J M J X \beta + 2\beta' X' J' M J J' M J M J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(J' MJ \odot MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ &= O(T^5), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\varepsilon' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon' J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon) \\ &= \text{tr}(MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ)\text{tr}(J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) + 2\text{tr}(MJX\beta\beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ & \quad + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ \odot J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ &= 3\beta' X' J' M J M J X \beta\beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ \odot J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ &= -1.5\beta' X' J' M J X \beta\beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ \odot J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ &= O(T^8), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon' J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ\varepsilon) \\ &= \text{tr}(MJ)\text{tr}(J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) + 2\text{tr}(M J J' M J X \beta\beta' X' J' MJ) + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJ \odot J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ &= \text{tr}(MJ)\beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta + 2\beta' X' J' M J M J J' M J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJ \odot J' MJX\beta\beta' X' J' MJ) \\ &= \text{tr}(MJ)\beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta + o(T^6), \end{aligned}$$

$$E(\varepsilon' MJ\varepsilon\varepsilon' J' MJ\varepsilon)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{tr}(MJ) \text{tr}(JMJ) + 2\text{tr}(MJJ'MJ) + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJ \odot J'MJ) \\
&= \text{tr}(MJ) \text{tr}(J'MJ) - \text{tr}(J'MJ) + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJ \odot J'MJ) \\
&= \text{tr}(MJ) \text{tr}(J'MJ) + o(T^3),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon' MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M \varepsilon) = \beta' X' J' MJX \beta = \mu^{-1} = O(T^3),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon \varepsilon' MJ \varepsilon) = \gamma_1 \beta' X' J' M (I \odot MJ) \iota = O(T^2),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon \varepsilon' MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M \varepsilon) = \gamma_1 \beta' X' J' M (I \odot MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M \varepsilon) \iota = O(T^5),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[(\varepsilon' MJ \varepsilon)^2] \\
&= [\text{tr}(MJ)]^2 + \text{tr}(MJM) + \text{tr}(J'MJ) + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJ \odot MJ) \\
&= [\text{tr}(MJ)]^2 + \text{tr}(MJM) + \text{tr}(J'MJ) + o(T^2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon' MJ \varepsilon' MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M \varepsilon) \\
&= \text{tr}(MJ) \beta' X' J' M JM JX \beta + \text{tr}(MJM JX \beta \beta' X' J' M) + \text{tr}(J'MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M) + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJ \odot MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M) \\
&= -0.5\mu^{-1} \text{tr}(MJ) + \beta' X' J' M JM J M J X \beta + \beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(MJ \odot MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M) \\
&= \beta' X' J' M (JM J + J J') M J X \beta + o(T^5),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon' J' MJ \varepsilon' MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M \varepsilon) \\
&= \mu^{-1} \text{tr}(J'MJ) + 2\beta' X' J' M JM J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(J'MJ \odot MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M) \\
&= \mu^{-1} \text{tr}(J'MJ) + 2\beta' X' J' M JM J X \beta + o(T^5),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[(\varepsilon' MJX \beta \beta' X' J' M \varepsilon)^2] \\
&= (\beta' X' J' M JM J X \beta)^2 + \text{tr}(M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M JM J X \beta \beta' X' J' M) + \text{tr}(M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M) \\
&\quad + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M \odot M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M) \\
&= 2(\beta' X' J' M JM J X \beta)^2 + \beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J \odot M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J) \\
&= 0.5\mu^{-2} + \mu^{-1} \beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta + \gamma_2 \text{tr}(M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J \odot M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J) \\
&= \mu^{-1} \beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta + o(T^8),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\tilde{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \tilde{W}) = \sigma^2 D' e_1 \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon' J' \varepsilon \varepsilon' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(J' J) D e_1 e'_1 D,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(P \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} P') \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R D e_1 \varepsilon') J' \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R D e_1 \varepsilon') J' \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D + \sigma^2 D e_1 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') J' \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') J' \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon) D e_1 e'_1 D \\
&= \sigma^2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} + 2\sigma^2 \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J' \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \odot I) J' \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J' \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J' \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' (W R \bar{W}' J \odot I) J' \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(W R \bar{W}' J) \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \text{tr}^2(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} R) D e_1 e'_1 D \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) D e_1 e'_1 D,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(P \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \tilde{W}) \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D + \sigma^2 D e_1 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' J \varepsilon) D e_1 e'_1 D \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J (J + J') \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' (J + J')) D e_1 e'_1 D,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \tilde{W}) = \sigma \bar{W}' \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D = 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} P')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sigma \bar{W}' E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D + \sigma \bar{W}' E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R D e_1 \varepsilon') J' \bar{W} \\
&= \sigma \gamma_1 \bar{W}' (I \odot \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) \iota e'_1 D + \sigma \gamma_1 \bar{W}' (\bar{W} R D e_1 \iota' \odot I) J' \bar{W},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(P \tilde{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W}) \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon e'_1 D R D e_1 \varepsilon' J' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R D e_1 \varepsilon' J' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} \\
&= \sigma^2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} \\
&= \sigma^2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J (J + J') \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J (J + J') \bar{W},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(P P \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W}) \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon e'_1 D R D e_1 \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 E(\varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R D e_1 \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} \\
&= \sigma^2 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R D e_1 \bar{W}' J \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' J (J' \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \odot I) \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J J') D e_1 e'_1 D + 2 \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' (J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J \bar{W} + \sigma^2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} + \sigma^2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(\bar{W}' \varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} S') \\
&= \sigma^2 \bar{W}' E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' \bar{W} R D e_1 \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon) e'_1 D = \sigma^2 \bar{W}' E(\varepsilon \varepsilon' J' J \varepsilon \varepsilon') \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D \\
&= \sigma^2 \text{tr}(J' J) D e_1 e'_1 D + 2 \sigma^2 \bar{W}' J' J \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D + \sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' (J' J \odot I) \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\bar{W} = \bar{Z}D$ contains a constant term, then $\bar{W}'(\bar{W}'\bar{W})^{-1}\bar{W}'\iota = \iota$. Also, ι is the second column of \bar{W} , so $(\bar{W}'\bar{W})^{-1}\bar{W}'\iota = e_2$, where $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)'$ is $(k+1) \times 1$. We can verify that $D e_1 e'_1 D = O(T^{2\delta_1}) = O(T^{-2})$, $e'_1 D R D e_1 = O(T^{-1+2\delta_1}) = O(T^{-3})$, $\bar{W}' J = O(T)$, $\bar{W} R = O(T^{-1})$, $\bar{W}' J' \bar{W} = O(T^2)$, $\bar{W}' J J' = O(T^2)$, $\bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} = O(T^3)$, $\bar{W}' J' J \bar{W} = O(T^3)$, $\bar{W}' J \bar{W} R = O(T)$, $\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J = O(1)$, $\bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} R = O(T^2)$, $\text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) = O(T)$, $\text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) = O(T^2)$, and $\text{tr}(\bar{W}' J J' \bar{W} R) = O(T^2)$. We further observe that $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \odot I) J' \bar{W}$, $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' (\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) J' \bar{W}$, $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D$, $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 \text{tr}(\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) D e_1 e'_1 D$, $\sigma \gamma_1 \bar{W}' (I \odot \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J) \iota e'_1 D$, $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' J (J' \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' \odot I) \bar{W}$, $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' (J' \bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W}$, $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 e'_1 D R D e_1 \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W}$, $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 D e_1 e'_1 D R \bar{W}' J (\bar{W} R \bar{W}' J \odot I) \bar{W}$, and $\sigma^2 \gamma_2 \bar{W}' (J' J \odot I) \bar{W} R D e_1 e'_1 D$ are all of order $o(1)$.

Appendix B: Special Case of $X = \iota$

When $X = \iota$, we collect the following results:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta' X' J' M (I \odot J' M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J) \iota \\
&= \beta^3 \sum_{t=1}^T [t - 1 - 0.5(T-1)] \{0.5[T(T-1) - t(t-1) - (T-1)(T-t)]\}^2 \\
&= -\frac{\beta^3}{240} (T^5 - T),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\beta' X' J' M (I \odot J' M J) \iota = \beta \sum_{t=1}^T [t - 1 - 0.5(T-1)][T - t - T^{-1}(T-t)^2] = -\frac{\beta}{12} (T^2 - 1),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M J (I \odot M J) \iota = \beta \sum_{t=1}^T 0.5[T(T-1) - t(t-1) - (T-1)(T-t)][-T^{-1}(T-t)] = -\frac{\beta}{24} (T^3 - T),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M J X \beta = \beta^2 \sum_{t=1}^T [t - 1 - 0.5(T-1)]^2 = \frac{\beta^2}{12} T(T^2 - 1),$$

$$\text{tr}(M J) = -T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (T-t) = -\frac{1}{2}(T-1),$$

$$\text{tr}(J' M J) = \sum_{t=1}^T [T - t - T^{-1}(T-t)^2] = \frac{1}{6}(T^2 - 1),$$

$$\beta' X' J' M J J' M J X \beta = \beta^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \{0.5[T(T-1) - t(t-1) - (T-1)(T-t)]\}^2 = \frac{\beta^2}{120}(T^5 - T),$$

$$\text{tr}(M J M J) = \text{tr}(J J) - \frac{2}{T} \iota' J J \iota + \frac{1}{T^2} (\iota' J \iota)^2 = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1)(t-2) + \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1) = -\frac{1}{12} T^2 + \frac{1}{2} T - \frac{5}{12},$$

$$\beta' X' J' M (I \odot M J) \iota = \beta \sum_{t=1}^T [t-1 - 0.5(T-1)][-T^{-1}(T-t)] = \frac{\beta}{12}(T^2 - 1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta' X' J' M (I \odot M J X \beta \beta' X' J' M J) \iota \\ &= \beta^3 \sum_{t=1}^T [t-1 - 0.5(T-1)]^2 \{0.5[T(T-1) - t(t-1) - (T-1)(T-t)]\} \\ &= \frac{\beta^3}{240}(T^5 - T), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta' X' J' M J M J M J X \beta \\ &= \beta^2 [\iota' J' J J J \iota - \frac{1}{T} \iota' J' J \iota \iota' J J \iota - \frac{1}{T} \iota' J \iota \iota' J J J \iota - \frac{1}{T} \iota' J \iota \iota' J' J J \iota + \frac{2}{T^2} (\iota' J \iota)^2 (\iota' J J \iota) + \frac{1}{T^2} (\iota' J \iota)^2 (\iota' J' J \iota) - \frac{1}{T^3} (\iota' J \iota)^4] \\ &= \beta^2 [\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1)(t-2)(t-1)(T-\frac{t}{2}) - \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1)^2 \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1)(t-2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1) \sum_{t=1}^T (T-t)(t-1)(t-2) - \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1) \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1)^2 (t-2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T^2} (\sum_{t=1}^T (t-1))^2 \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1)(t-2) + \frac{1}{T^2} (\sum_{t=1}^T (t-1))^2 \sum_{t=1}^T (t-1)(T-t) - \frac{1}{T^3} (\sum_{t=1}^T (t-1))^4] \\ &= -\frac{\beta^2}{720}(T^5 + 10T^3 - 11T). \end{aligned}$$

Table 1: Moments of the LS Estimator with $\beta^* = 5$ and $T = 10, 20$

	$T = 10$						$T = 20$					
	Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN	Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN
Bias($\hat{\lambda}$)	-0.00170	-0.00170	-0.00156	-0.00172	-0.00183	-0.00133	-0.00051	-0.00049	-0.00051	-0.00053	-0.00046	
(MCSE)	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	
$O(T^{-2})$	-0.00240	-0.00240	-0.00240	-0.00240	-0.00240	-0.00240	-0.00060	-0.00060	-0.00060	-0.00060	-0.00060	
$O(\sigma^2)$	-0.00168	-0.00168	-0.00168	-0.00168	-0.00168	-0.00168	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.00051	
$O(T^{-3})$	-0.00170	-0.00170	-0.00161	-0.00170	-0.00176	-0.00131	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.00052	-0.00046	
$O(\sigma^3)$	-0.00168	-0.00168	-0.00158	-0.00168	-0.00173	-0.00129	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.00049	-0.00051	-0.00046	
Var($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.00049	0.00049	0.00048	0.00049	0.00050	0.00046	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	
$O(T^{-4})$	0.00049	0.00049	0.00064	0.00049	0.00039	0.00111	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00005	0.00014	
MSE($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.00049	0.00049	0.00048	0.00050	0.00047	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	
$O(T^{-4})$	0.00049	0.00049	0.00064	0.00049	0.00040	0.00111	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00005	0.00014	
Bias($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.05815	0.05810	0.05605	0.05829	0.06004	0.05190	0.03448	0.03431	0.03361	0.03412	0.03489	
(MCSE)	0.00019	0.00019	0.00020	0.00019	0.00018	0.00021	0.00014	0.00014	0.00014	0.00013	0.00015	
$O(T^{-1})$	0.05818	0.05818	0.05818	0.05818	0.05818	0.05818	0.03429	0.03429	0.03429	0.03429	0.03429	
Var($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.34412	0.34371	0.38275	0.34533	0.32115	0.45897	0.18557	0.18540	0.19686	0.18578	0.17894	
$O(T^{-2})$	0.34119	0.34119	0.35462	0.34119	0.33326	0.39565	0.18454	0.18454	0.18841	0.18454	0.18226	
MSE($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.34750	0.34708	0.38590	0.34872	0.32476	0.46166	0.18676	0.18658	0.19799	0.18695	0.18015	
$O(T^{-2})$	0.34457	0.34457	0.35801	0.34457	0.33665	0.39903	0.18571	0.18571	0.18958	0.18571	0.18343	

Table 2: Moments of the LS Estimator with $\beta^* = 5$ and $T = 40, 80$

	$T = 40$			$T = 80$		
	Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN
Bias($\hat{\lambda}$)	-0.00014	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00003
(MCSE)	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
$O(T^{-2})$	-0.00015	-0.00015	-0.00015	-0.00015	-0.00015	-0.00003
$O(\sigma^2)$	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00003
$O(T^{-3})$	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00003
$O(\sigma^3)$	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00003
Var($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00000
$O(T^{-4})$	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00002	0.00000
MSE($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00000	0.00000
$O(T^{-4})$	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00002	0.00000
Bias($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.01860	0.01858	0.01832	0.01861	0.01811	0.00964
(MCSE)	0.00010	0.00010	0.00010	0.00010	0.00010	0.00007
$O(T^{-1})$	0.01854	0.01854	0.01854	0.01854	0.01854	0.00963
Var($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.09631	0.09637	0.09642	0.096450	0.10620	0.04906
$O(T^{-2})$	0.09603	0.09603	0.09706	0.09603	0.09542	0.10022
MSE($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.09666	0.09671	0.09676	0.09676	0.10652	0.04915
$O(T^{-2})$	0.09637	0.09637	0.09741	0.09637	0.09577	0.10056

Table 3: Moments of the LS Estimator with $\beta^* = 1$ and $T = 10, 20$

	$T = 10$			$T = 20$			
	Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN	
Bias($\hat{\lambda}$)	-0.06075	-0.05969	-0.03486	-0.05957	-0.07699	-0.02257	
(MCSE)	0.00004	0.00004	0.00004	0.00005	0.00005	0.00003	
$O(T^{-2})$	-0.06000	-0.06000	-0.06000	-0.06000	-0.06000	-0.01500	
$O(\sigma^2)$	-0.04200	-0.04200	-0.04200	-0.04200	-0.04200	-0.01275	
$O(T^{-3})$	-0.05880	-0.05880	-0.04880	-0.05880	-0.06587	-0.01015	
$O(\sigma^3)$	-0.04200	-0.04200	-0.03000	-0.04200	-0.04907	0.00664	
Var($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.01908	0.01827	0.01399	0.01981	0.02344	0.01120	
$O(T^{-4})$	0.01512	0.01512	0.03432	0.01512	0.00379	0.09295	
MSE($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.02277	0.02184	0.01521	0.02336	0.02937	0.01171	
$O(T^{-4})$	0.01872	0.01872	0.03792	0.01872	0.00739	0.09655	
Bias($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.29577	0.30054	0.25553	0.28036	0.31061	0.20131	
(MCSE)	0.00018	0.00018	0.00022	0.00019	0.00016	0.00027	
$O(T^{-1})$	0.29091	0.29091	0.29091	0.29091	0.29091	0.29091	
Var($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.32393	0.31068	0.50238	0.35720	0.24459	0.73438	
$O(T^{-2})$	0.23874	0.23874	0.30592	0.23874	0.19912	0.51104	
MSE($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.41141	0.40100	0.56615	0.43580	0.34107	0.77491	
$O(T^{-2})$	0.32337	0.32337	0.39055	0.32337	0.28375	0.59567	
Normal			Uniform			$T = 20$	
Exp(1)			t_5			t_5	
Mixture			Exp(1)			Mixture	
logN			t_5			logN	

Table 4: Moments of the LS Estimator with $\beta^* = 1$ and $T = 40, 80$

	$T = 40$			$T = 80$		
	Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN
Bias($\hat{\lambda}$)	-0.00375	-0.00373	-0.00335	-0.00378	-0.00401	-0.00287
(MCSE)	0.00001	0.00000	0.00001	0.00001	0.00000	0.00000
$O(T^{-2})$	-0.00375	-0.00375	-0.00375	-0.00375	-0.00375	-0.00375
$O(\sigma^2)$	-0.00346	-0.00346	-0.00346	-0.00346	-0.00346	-0.00346
$O(T^{-3})$	-0.00373	-0.00373	-0.00354	-0.00373	-0.00384	-0.00297
$O(\sigma^3)$	-0.00346	-0.00346	-0.00328	-0.00346	-0.00357	-0.00270
Var($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020	0.00021	0.00019	0.00019
$O(T^{-4})$	0.00020	0.00020	0.00050	0.00020	0.00142	0.00002
MSE($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.00022	0.00022	0.00021	0.00022	0.00020	0.00003
$O(T^{-4})$	0.00021	0.00021	0.00051	0.00021	0.00143	0.00003
Bias($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.09429	0.09425	0.09029	0.09435	0.09669	0.08423
(MCSE)	0.00010	0.00010	0.00011	0.00010	0.00009	0.00012
$O(T^{-1})$	0.09268	0.09268	0.09268	0.09268	0.09268	0.09268
Var($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.09539	0.09514	0.11139	0.09689	0.08679	0.15184
$O(T^{-2})$	0.08857	0.08857	0.09373	0.08857	0.08552	0.10951
MSE($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.10428	0.10402	0.11954	0.10579	0.09613	0.15894
$O(T^{-2})$	0.09716	0.09716	0.10232	0.09716	0.09411	0.11810

Table 5: Moments of the LS Estimator with $\beta^* = 0.2$ and $T = 10, 20$

	$T = 10$						$T = 20$					
	Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN	Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN
Bias($\hat{\lambda}$)	-0.37197	-0.38119	-0.37504	-0.36073	-0.35895	-0.36407	-0.19126	-0.19404	-0.19358	-0.1893	-0.18634	-0.18528
(MCSE)	0.00010	0.00010	0.00010	0.00010	0.00010	0.00010	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006
$O(T^{-2})$	-1.50000	-1.50000	-1.50000	-1.50000	-1.50000	-1.50000	-0.37500	-0.37500	-0.37500	-0.37500	-0.37500	-0.37500
$O(\sigma^2)$	-1.05000	-1.05000	-1.05000	-1.05000	-1.05000	-1.05000	-0.31875	-0.31875	-0.31875	-0.31875	-0.31875	-0.31875
$O(T^{-3})$	-11.55000	-11.55000	-10.05000	-11.55000	-12.43180	-5.46949	-1.63125	-1.63125	-1.44375	-1.63125	-1.74185	-0.87118
$O(\sigma^3)$	-1.05000	-1.05000	0.45000	-1.05000	-1.93484	5.03050	-0.31875	-0.31875	-0.13125	-0.31875	-0.42935	0.44131
$O(\beta^{*2})$	-0.36943	-0.37915	-0.38799	-0.35698	-0.34991	-0.40050	-0.18589	-0.18911	-0.19981	-0.17944	-0.17666	-0.20976
Var($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.09672	0.09693	0.09775	0.09821	0.09838	0.12419	0.03112	0.03138	0.03049	0.03085	0.03093	0.03308
$O(T^{-4})$	2.25000	2.25000	4.65000	2.25000	0.83425	11.97880	0.15938	0.15938	0.45938	0.15338	-0.01759	1.37548
MSE($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.23508	0.24224	0.23840	0.22833	0.22423	0.25674	0.06770	0.06903	0.06797	0.06542	0.06566	0.06741
$O(T^{-4})$	4.50000	4.50000	6.90000	4.50000	3.08425	14.22880	0.30000	0.30000	0.30000	0.30000	0.30000	0.12303
Bias($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.21413	0.22174	0.29437	0.20451	0.14695	0.30957	0.23955	0.24344	0.29650	0.23174	0.20067	0.31889
(MCSE)	0.00026	0.00026	0.00028	0.00028	0.00026	0.00032	0.00020	0.00020	0.00022	0.00021	0.00019	0.00027
$O(T^{-1})$	1.45455	1.45455	1.45455	1.45455	1.45455	1.45455	0.85714	0.85714	0.85714	0.85714	0.85714	0.85714
Var($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.69958	0.67149	0.80646	0.75682	0.66138	1.03012	0.39989	0.38790	0.47785	0.43303	0.37780	0.71659
$O(T^{-2})$	-2.32231	-2.32231	-1.98644	-2.32231	-2.52044	-0.96081	-0.55000	-0.55000	-0.45326	-0.55000	-0.60706	-0.15787
MSE($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.74543	0.72066	0.89312	0.79864	0.68297	1.12596	0.45727	0.44716	0.56577	0.48673	0.41806	0.81828
$O(T^{-2})$	-0.20661	-0.20661	0.12926	-0.20661	-0.40473	1.15489	0.18469	0.28143	0.18469	0.12763	0.12763	0.57682

Table 6: Moments of the LS Estimator with $\beta^* = 0.2$ and $T = 40, 80$

		$T = 40$			$T = 80$		
		Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN
		Normal	Uniform	Exp(1)	t_5	Mixture	logN
Bias($\hat{\lambda}$)	-0.08464	-0.08536	-0.08498	-0.08267	-0.08353	-0.07894	-0.03075
(MCSE)	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	-0.03025
$O(T^{-2})$	-0.09375	-0.09375	-0.09375	-0.09375	-0.09375	-0.09375	-0.03097
$O(\sigma^2)$	-0.08671	-0.08671	-0.08671	-0.08671	-0.08671	-0.08671	-0.02343
$O(T^{-3})$	-0.25078	-0.25078	-0.22734	-0.25078	-0.26460	-0.15577	-0.02255
$O(\sigma^3)$	-0.08671	-0.08671	-0.06328	-0.08671	-0.10054	0.00829	-0.02255
$O(\beta^{*2})$	-0.07417	-0.07497	-0.08428	-0.0792	-0.06951	-0.09295	-0.01215
Var($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.00815	0.00819	0.00806	0.00805	0.00813	0.00832	0.00158
$O(T^{-4})$	0.01231	0.01231	0.04981	0.01231	-0.00981	0.16432	0.00106
MSE($\hat{\lambda}$)	0.01531	0.01547	0.01528	0.01489	0.01511	0.01455	0.00253
$O(T^{-4})$	0.02109	0.02109	0.05859	0.02109	-0.00102	0.17311	0.00161
Bias($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.22779	0.22969	0.25950	0.22264	0.20793	0.27512	0.18287
(MCSE)	0.00014	0.00014	0.00015	0.00014	0.00013	0.00020	0.00009
$O(T^{-1})$	0.46342	0.46342	0.46342	0.46342	0.46342	0.46342	0.24074
Var($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.18814	0.18448	0.22553	0.20138	0.17759	0.38902	0.07506
$O(T^{-2})$	-0.09802	-0.09802	-0.07220	-0.09802	-0.11326	0.00666	-0.00095
MSE($\hat{\beta}/\sigma$)	0.24003	0.23724	0.29287	0.25095	0.22083	0.46472	0.10934
$O(T^{-2})$	0.11672	0.11672	0.14255	0.11672	0.10149	0.22142	0.05700